



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,978	07/18/2003	Jimmy R. Roehrig	061154-0075US	3001
24341	7590	06/09/2008	EXAMINER	
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP.			RASHID, DAVID	
2 PALO ALTO SQUARE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3000 EL CAMINO REAL				
PALO ALTO, CA 94306			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/622,978	ROEHRIG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DAVID P. RASHID	2624	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DAVID P. RASHID (examiner). (3) ____.

(2) FRANK E. MORRIS (Reg. No. 24,615). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 05 June 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,22 and 28.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent No. 5,657,362.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed the differences between the prior art of record and the claims in question, including (i) the claimed step of converting the processed image into a standard form image; and (ii) the patentability of claims 22, 28. Though no agreement was reached, Applicant's representative agreed to file a response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Vikkram Bali/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, AU 2624

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.